Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Strategic Plan
Five-Year Strategic Objectives, Measures, and FY18 Actions

I. Diversity Equity and Inclusion Strategic Plan: Overview

Selected text from President’s Diversity Charge:

Goals: Diversity, Equity and Inclusion:

**Diversity:** We commit to increasing diversity, which is expressed in myriad forms, including race and ethnicity, gender and gender identity, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, language, culture, national origin, religious commitments, age, (dis)ability status, and political perspective.

**Equity:** We commit to working actively to challenge and respond to bias, harassment, and discrimination. We are committed to a policy of equal opportunity for all persons and do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, marital status, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, disability, religion, height, weight, or veteran status.

**Inclusion:** We commit to pursuing deliberate efforts to ensure that our campus is a place where differences are welcomed, different perspectives are respectfully heard, and every individual feels a sense of belonging and inclusion. We know that by building a critical mass of diverse groups on campus and creating a vibrant climate of inclusiveness, we can more effectively leverage the resources of diversity to advance our collective capabilities.

**The Law School** aims to enhance the degree to which individuals experience the Law School as inclusive, and endeavors to ensure that we are providing equal opportunities for success, with a particular focus on the educational environment: teaching, learning, and academic advising, as well as co-curricular efforts. The learning environment must be challenging, welcoming, and inclusive. People who have diverse backgrounds, identities, and perspectives will inevitably challenge and think differently from each other. We must create space for these diverse viewpoints to be expressed, even though they will sometimes feel wrong or offensive, without losing our civility and respectfulness as a community. Our curriculum must adapt to changing needs of the profession without losing the core that has trained generations. Faculty and administrators must create and strengthen relationships with students and help students secure fulfilling careers. We must continue to search for better ways to create and foster connections among faculty, staff, alumni, current students, and admitted students.

II. Planning Process Used

Planning Team:

- Mark West, Dean
- Daniel Halberstam, Associate Dean for Faculty and Research
- Michele Frasier Wing, Director of Administration
- Gil Seinfeld, Associate Dean for Academic Programming
University of Michigan Law School

- David Santacroce, Associate Dean for Experiential Education
- Michelle Rodgers, Director of Communications
- Suellyn Scarnecchia, Clinical Professor; Chair of Educational Environment Committee
- Sarah Zearfoss, Senior Assistant Dean for Admissions

Overarching purpose of the data gathering and analysis phase
- To assess, using both objective data and anecdotal information, the degree to which our faculty, staff, and students are diverse along various measures as well as the degree to which our community is experienced as inclusive and equitable. The measures we examined include race and ethnicity, gender and gender identity, sexual orientation, socio-economic status, language, culture, national origin, religious commitments, age, (dis)ability status, and political perspective.

Process Used to Collect Data/Sources of Data
- Data collection remains the same for FY18.
- We use both existing datasets and engage in gathering of anecdotal information using a variety of Law School personnel. Particularly instrumental to this process is the Educational Environment Committee [EEC], a standing committee appointed by the Dean, which has been in continuous existence since 1995, and which comprises faculty, administrative staff, and current students.

--Prospective students
  • Comprehensive existing datasets regarding composition and outcomes for both national (from the Law School Admissions Council [LSAC]) and Law School applicant pool

--Current students
  • Comprehensive existing datasets regarding composition of current student body along a variety of dimensions
  • Beginning Spring 2015, meetings between EEC and:
    -- student body as a whole
    -- individual students
    -- student groups
  • Meetings between staff of Office of Student Life and individual students
  • Reports from student members of the EEC
  • Town hall meeting and access to website allowing anonymous comments

--Staff
  • Datasets produced by Human Resources staff
  • Access to website allowing anonymous comments

--Faculty
  • Existing datasets regarding composition of faculty
  • Input from meetings with individual faculty members by members of the EEC
  • Access to website allowing anonymous comments

--Community as a whole
• Password-protected website soliciting input from students, staff, and faculty, established November 2015; comments, which contributors could make anonymously if they desired, reviewed exclusively by the EEC Chair

III. Data and Analysis: Key Findings

Year One Results
We had excellent support from the Dean and all administrators responsible for the various aspects of the plan. Our infrastructure for recruiting faculty, students, and staff is robust and so we did not need to build new systems. The Educational Environment Committee was in its second year of operating under a new DEI-related charge from the Dean, and so we had continuity of leadership and had already set some practices in place. The EEC experienced an uptick in messages and requests from the community that suggest community recognition of its DEI-related role at the Law School. Faculty, students, and staff attended programming and the Law School community remains involved in this work.

In Year Two (FY18), we will improve coordination between the plan and our pre-existing hiring infrastructure, continue to explore new ways to communicate with the community about DEI goals, and the plan coordinator will have dedicated release time from her regular duties to focus on implementation of DEI action steps. All action steps will continue.

Recommendations (remain the same for FY2018)
-- Improved, consistent coverage by faculty of sensitive diversity issues in class
-- Improved sensitivity by faculty to issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion in classroom interactions, along broad dimensions
-- Resources and training for students who wish to engage in diversity issues in classroom context
-- Increased co-curricular programming in response to arising developments (e.g., Ferguson; anti-Muslim political rhetoric)
-- Despite general satisfaction by staff with regard to issues of diversity and equity, increased emphasis on “inclusion” in a broad sense

IV. Strategic Objectives, Measures of Success and Action Plans*

*All strategic objectives and related actions will be pursued in accordance with the law and University policy.
** Service will not be separately addressed as a component of our Strategic Plan, as it is inherent in the field of study and is woven into the strategies for other domains.

FY18 Goals and Action Steps will remain the same as FY17.

A. Recruitment, Retention and Development
Students
Five Year Goal(s):
To assemble an exceptional community of talented and interesting students with diverse backgrounds, identities, and perspectives, who will flourish in and out of the classroom and go on to accomplished careers.

Action Steps:
1. Pre-admit stage: Continue devoting considerable resources to soliciting applications from a broad spectrum of potential candidates through extensive recruiting travel, including to institutions and other venues with a verified record of producing qualified applicants from diverse backgrounds; participation in pipeline initiatives such as the Council on Legal Education Opportunity and the Graduate Horizons Conference; building relationships with advisors at key institutions such as University of Texas–El Paso Law School Preparation Institute; the Pre-law Summer Institute at the American Indian Law Center; and historically black colleges and universities; solicitation via the LSAC’s Candidate Referral Service of a wide range of qualified candidates, using multiple “touches,” such as mail and email from both institutional and student actors, as well as broad use of fee waivers.

2. Admissions stage: As are all public educational institutions in Michigan, we are, of course, prohibited from taking race qua race into account as a factor in admissions by Article I, Section 26 of the Michigan Constitution. Our time- and resource-intensive application review process, which has been a model for the nation, see Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), is our best tool for achieving diversity under that constraint. We use a forward-looking assessment and evaluation criteria that are holistic in two important senses: (1) the criteria are individualized and non-mechanical, encompassing a wide and evolving range of considerations; and (2) we consider the diversity of the class as a whole, across many dimensions, particularly those that are relevant to the development of law, including socioeconomic background, academic training, or a demonstrated interest in or commitment to issues relating to LGBTQ, visible and invisible disability, language facility, religious conviction and training, or political viewpoint.

3. Post-admit stage: Continue striving to enroll those whom we admit by devoting considerable resources to communicating with admitted students to address their concerns and develop their sense of community: Individualized outreach to every admitted student who self-identifies as a racial minority from at least one member of the Admissions and Financial Aid staff, to communicate general information about available financial resources (institutional and external scholarships; institutional need-based financial aid; summer loan and grant support; post-graduate grant support; post-graduate debt management support); encourage campus visits, particularly during Preview Weekend; ascertain other opportunities for recruiting (e.g., through connections to faculty or administrators, or current students); facilitation of outreach by current students and alumni to admitted students; and developing creative recruiting initiatives, e.g., our video addressing the perspective of specific identity groups.

Faculty
Five Year Goal(s): To build the diversity of the Law School faculty through creative and consistent outreach.

Action Steps:

1. Tracking the winners of awards that recognize scholars and scholarship in fields focusing on underrepresented populations in order to identify potential faculty candidates.
2. Informally mentoring diverse scholars at other schools who may, in the future, be potential faculty candidates.
3. Developing relationships with diverse scholars in doctoral programs and fellowships at other schools who may, in the future, be potential faculty candidates.
4. Undertaking benchmarking studies to identify methods that peer schools have successfully utilized to increase diversity.
5. Considering various pipeline initiatives, such as fellowship or Visiting Assistant Professor programs, which could enable the Law School to identify and train entry level academics in fields focusing on underrepresented populations.
6. Continually engaging the faculty in conversations about hiring criteria and tradeoffs (i.e., proven track record vs. potential and “coachability”) and methods of identifying qualified candidates from underrepresented backgrounds to diversify applicant pools.
7. Proactively seeking new faculty hires in underrepresented disciplines.
8. Improving both attraction of new faculty and retention of current faculty by nurturing hospitable faculty climate.
9. Continuing efforts to expand talent pool for new faculty hires by looking for candidates not already seeking employment as faculty.
10. Considering use of criteria in faculty hiring decisions that may decrease conscious or subconscious evaluation processes that might reduce diversity of faculty.
11. Meeting with the University’s STRIDE Committee to seek advice on best hiring practices and strategies for improving faculty diversity.
12. Asking teams of faculty to read the work of broad pools of potential candidates and to articulate detailed feedback on the candidates’ work, rather than relying on reputation or status markers.
13. Ensuring that the pools of candidates interviewed in the entry-level market contain candidates from a wide variety of backgrounds.
14. Identifying academic programs or conferences focused on issues of particular interest to underrepresented populations in order to identify potential faculty candidates.
15. Seeking potential entry-level candidates who are not currently on the academic market, but might be interested in considering an academic career.
16. Conveying advice to the faculty about hiring habits that sometimes bias the hiring process against attracting a diverse faculty, such as over-relying on interviews or validation from peer networks.

Staff
Five Year Goal(s): To continue our record of successful recruitment, hiring, and retention of diverse populations.

1. Continuing to regularly engage staff in conversations about recruiting and hiring criteria.
2. Structuring interview processes to allow for exposure of candidate to a wide range of members of our community.
3. Development of broad pools of candidates, devoting resources, as appropriate, to actively recruiting from a variety of sources.
4. Seeking to improve attraction and retention by nurturing a hospitable climate, about which see infra III C.

B. Education and Scholarship and
C. Promoting an Equitable and Inclusive Community

Students
Five-Year Goal(s): Students will describe the Law School classroom as challenging, welcoming, and inclusive, and will feel that the Law School takes diversity, equity, and inclusion issues seriously; is responsive to related concerns; and supports related programming outside of the classroom.

Action Steps:
1. Pop-up panels—Work with a variety of students to identify topics, invite speakers, and design a program to respond to current events that involve diversity, equity, and inclusion issues. This programming should be timely, informative, and provide a setting for the law school community to address important topics. Examples from 2015-2016 academic year:
   a. November 16, 2015: Campus Race Relations and the First Amendment
   b. January 20, 2016: Deconstructing Islamophobia
2. Law School Community Dialogues—The series will take an important diversity, equity, and inclusion topic and provide an in-depth analysis of its legal, social, and historical aspects, providing a forum for a variety of viewpoints, and putting special emphasis on teaching students to discuss difficult issues in a public setting in a professional manner. In 2015-2016 academic year, four sessions will be offered in the Winter term, providing an analysis of race, law enforcement, and the use of force.
3. Provide a website and meetings for students to voice opinions and provide suggestions on issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion.
4. Consistent communication by administration to students regarding the state of diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts.
5. Continually consult with the University’s Program on InterGroup Relations, and others, for student dialogue resources.
6. As necessary for conflict resolution, continued reliance on current student grievance processes relating to conduct of faculty and staff,
Faculty

Five Year Goal(s): Faculty members will feel well-resourced and supported in their efforts to provide a challenging, welcoming, and inclusive classroom, and will regularly raise diversity, equity, and inclusion issues when they naturally arise in the curriculum and will lead respectful discussions of those issues in ways that promote participation by all students and encourage the voicing of a variety of points of view.

Action Steps:
1. Provide feedback to the faculty on student concerns.
2. Provide programming for faculty to discuss challenges to inclusive teaching.
3. Invite faculty to informal sessions during the term to focus on specific issues of inclusive teaching, and to plan what topics are of most interest to the faculty for future programs.
4. Continually consult with the University’s Center for Research on Learning and Teaching, and others, for inclusive teaching resources.
5. As necessary for conflict resolution, continued reliance upon the current University policy for faculty ombudsperson, along with current Law School process for faculty grievance.

Staff

Five Year Goal(s): To continue our record of diverse recruitment and hiring, as well as our lack of complaints to the Office of Institutional Equity, while improving the overall satisfaction of staff with the working environment, specifically, increasing opportunities for staff development and interactions, including staff interactions with both students and faculty.

Action Steps:
1. Providing training for senior administrators on wide-ranging issues of support for diverse populations.
2. Re-introduction of community-building initiatives, such as the staff newsletter.
3. Development of community-building events, both purely social in nature (e.g., Museum of Art lunch visit; post-exam reception for faculty and staff), as well as work-focused (e.g., summer workshops on skill-building).
4. As necessary for conflict resolution, continued reliance upon current University staff grievance and mediation procedures, http://spg.umich.edu/policy/201.08; https://hr.umich.edu/working-u-m/workplace-improvement/mediation-services.

V. Goal-related Metrics – School, college or unit measures tracked over time
1. Annual data on composition of entering class provided to LSAC and ABA
2. Annual data on composition of faculty provided to ABA
3. Annual data on hiring and staff turnover.
4. Annually, ask students to comment on the law school diversity, equity, and inclusion environment in open meetings, closed meetings with affinity group representatives, and an open website that permits anonymous comments. Track any changes in the quantity and nature of the comments.
5. Number of programs, both pop-up and in-depth, sponsored or co-sponsored by the EEC.
6. Number of sessions or resource materials provided to faculty to assist in inclusive teaching.
7. Number of sessions made available to staff for both skill development and development of knowledge about the Law School and the law.

VI. Action Planning Tables with Details and Accountabilities

A. Recruitment, Retention and Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Constituency</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Measures Of Success</th>
<th>Detailed Actions Planned (measurable, specific)</th>
<th>Group/persons accountable</th>
<th>Resources needed (if applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>Recruit diverse class at pre-admit stage</td>
<td>Diversity of applicants as reflected in annual data</td>
<td>Recruiting travel, including to those with more diverse potential applicants; Participate in pipeline initiatives; Relationships with key advisers; Solicit through LSAC candidate referral service; Multiple contacts with potential candidates; Broad use of fee waivers.</td>
<td>Dean of admissions and staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recruit diverse class at admissions stage</td>
<td>Diversity of admittees as reflected in annual data</td>
<td>Review applicants through our holistic, time- and resource intensive process using individualized and non-mechanical criteria; Consider the diversity of the class as a whole, across many dimensions.</td>
<td>Dean of admissions and staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recruit diverse class at post-admit stage</td>
<td>Diversity of class as reflected in annual data</td>
<td>Devote considerable resources to communicating with admitted students to address concerns &amp; develop their sense of community; individual attention from admissions staff member regarding available financial resources; encourage campus visits; utilize faculty, students and alumni to recruit individual students; Employ creative initiatives, eg videos that address specific identity groups.</td>
<td>Dean of admissions and staff; Dean of financial aid; Faculty, students and alumni.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>Recruit and Diversity of</td>
<td>See 16 steps listed in IV.A Faculty</td>
<td>Dean, all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. and C. Education and Scholarship and Promoting an Equitable and Inclusive Community

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Constituency</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Measures Of Success</th>
<th>Detailed Actions Planned (measurable, specific)</th>
<th>Group/persons accountable</th>
<th>Resources needed (if applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>Challenging; welcoming and inclusive classrooms</td>
<td>Students describe classroom as such as reflected in feedback to faculty and to the EEC</td>
<td>Promote inclusive teaching to faculty (see below); Provide a website and open meetings for students to provide feedback about the classroom environment.</td>
<td>EEC; Associate Deans</td>
<td>Administrative senior staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law school values diversity, equity, and inclusion and is responsive to related concerns</td>
<td>Students describe law school as such as reflected in feedback to the administration and to the EEC</td>
<td>Consistent communication by the administration to students regarding the state of diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts; Provide a website and open meetings for students to provide feedback about the law school environment.</td>
<td>Administrative senior staff.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law school supports diversity, equity, and inclusion programming outside of the classroom</td>
<td>Programming continues as reported by the EEC</td>
<td>Provide pop-up panels with student groups to respond to current events related to DEI; Sponsor law school community dialogues to provide in depth coverage of important DEI-related issues and to promote professional dialogue.</td>
<td>Dean; Office of Student Life staff; EEC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>Provide challenging, welcoming, and inclusive classrooms</td>
<td>Student evaluation for specific courses and student feedback</td>
<td>Provide faculty programming to promote challenging, welcoming, and inclusive teaching; Seek consultation for faculty members from UM CRLT and IGR.</td>
<td>EEC; Associate Deans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reported to website and EEC

| Staff | Improve overall satisfaction of staff | Retention of diverse staff as reflected in annual data; low number of OIE complaints, staff feedback reported by the Director of HR. | Provide DEI training for senior administrative staff to support diverse staff; Support community initiatives such as staff newsletter; Develop and support social and work-related community-wide events. | Director of human resources; senior administrative staff. |

VII. Plans for Supporting, Tracking, and Updating the Strategic Plan

The Law School does not view this strategic plan as a dramatic departure from our past practices, but rather, a written record of our longstanding commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion. Our understanding of what is appropriate and necessary in pursuit of our goals evolves and develops over time, and we expect our actions to keep pace. Members of the senior administration of the Law School, who constitute the planning team, firmly believe that the success of this strategic plan rests not with any individual employee or office, but with the whole of our community. We will continue to examine and monitor our progress on an ongoing, consistent basis, with regular review of our progress in each area.

FY18 – Suelyn Scarnecchia, Coordinator for the Strategic Plan, will be the Law School’s point of contact.