

VOLUME XVII No. 1

Exculpatory Evidence and Videos3
Use of Force and Denial of Medical Care: No Training, Poor Policy on Restraints Equals Major Trouble3
Editor Finds Common Sense in Court Comments4
Appeals Courts Struggle to Define RLUIPA "Substantial Burden"5
Court Spurns Offer to Find Felon Voting Right6
From the Literature7 "Pure of Heart" Defense Fails in Jail Conditions Case9 Shopping For a Prison? Read the Research12



Pages 1 – 16

SSN 1043-6766

An Expert's View

Expert witnes correctional laws may participate i any of the lawye a given case W esting to get a process so we Colorado Sprin Tom Rosazz perience in corr worked in corr volved for a nu standards in M correctional a ly in the areas dards develor has written n training cour the co-autho Administrate tion Basi the Natio Rosazza ca

play a vital role in many ts. An experienced expert nore inmate lawsuits than representing the parties in hought it would be inter-

t's view on the litigation ted to Tom Rosazza from CO.

a prings over 35 years of exions to the witness stand. He ions in the military, was inber of years with correctional dand, and has consulted with ices for over 20 years, mostliability management, stanent and jail planning. He also by monographs, articles and on jail administration. He is f the *Resource Guide for Jail* and the author of *Jail Inspec*hof which are available from titute of Corrections. Mr reached at www.rosazza.com. Since this article is and the court process, the stand and swore h his testimony and he

CLR: How many tained as an experience case?

Rosazza: Over 2 years.

CLR: Of those 2 times have you actu

Rosazza: Mayt year. Deposition to more often, perhap

CLR: You have and defendants, ha proximate breakd Rosazza: The

50/50 between pl CLR: Aside fi most of your work working with out expert witnesses e put Mr. Rosazza on i in. The following is sticking to it.

June/July 2005

hes have you been ress in a corrections

times over the past 15

-plus cases, how many ly testified at trial? two to three times per imony tends to happen once monthly.

orked for both plaintiffs you not? What is the apn?

ases are generally split tiffs and defendants. n expert witnessing, isn't s correctional consultant,

See EXPERT, next page

National Prison Reform Commission Begins Work

by Margo Schlanger

Editor's Note: Margo Schlanger is Professor of Law, Washington University in St. Louis, and a member of the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons. She is a former trial attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division. She is the author of a superb article on inmate access to courts: Inmate Litigation, 116 Harvard Law Review (2003). You can contact her at mschlanger@ wulaw.wustl.edu

Chaired by former Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach and former U.S. Circuit Judge John Gibbons, the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons opened shop in March and held its first public hearing in April in Tampa, Florida. The Commission is a private group, organized by the Vera Institute of Justice in New York. It brings together 21 commissioners --- civic leaders with law enforcement backgrounds, inmates' advocates, former inmates, corrections professionals (from both jails and prisons), forensic psychiatrists, law professors, and others. Some of the commissioners are very high profile --- they include William Sessions, former U.S. District judge and FBI Director; Marc Morial, former Mayor of New Orleans; Gloria Romero, California Senate Majority Leader; Gary Maynard, Director, Iowa Department See COMMISSION, page 15

EXPERT, from page 14

Most of the time though, they may not s the need for an expert until litigation is co siderably underway.

CLR: When should someone retain expert in jail litigation?

at

rt

ts

that

Rosazza: I don't know if there is and fast rule on when someone needs t an expert, but common sense suggests when there are complex issues of jail r agement and practices involved, an ex can be valuable. In some cases, the seem to speak for themselves, but i ne side has an expert, the other side may ed one. Or, the attorney may feel that a expert can educate the jury and lend cre bility to the claim.

CLR: People mostly think of an pert as testifying in support of a particula osition. But are there other tasks that y perform when someone retains you as expert? For instance, do lawyers use bu to analyze and critique the other side' xpert to help the lawyer who hired you at k the expert?

Rosazza: An expert must assu e that the case will go to trial, even thou in my experience that rarely happens. The role of an expert really includes two m functions: that of subject matter exp of consultant.

COMMISSION, from page 1

of Corrections, American Correctional Association President-elect. Some are less well known --- me, for example. Our common ambition is to understand the most serious problem in our nations' nearly 5,000 correctional facilities and recommend ways to make them safer for inmates, staff, and the public.

Both national and state blue ribbon prison reform commissions have a long history, of course. In the late 1960s, Chief Justice Warren's interest in prisons led the American Bar Association to found a Commission on Correction Facilities and Services. One of its chairs, Robert McKay, also chaired a commission that examined the causes and course of the 1971 riot at New York's Attica prison. The American Friends Service Committee produced an important set of prison reform recommendations in 1971 and its National Commission on Crime and Justice produced another set in 1993.¹ In the late 1980s, California had its Blue Ribbon Commission on Inmate Population Management. More recently, the ABA had another commission,

The subject matter expert reviews case materials, develops opinions, constructs an expert report, and testifies in deposition and/or trial. The consultant assists the attorney in technical matters related to jail or prison operations, standards and accepted practices.

I am often asked to critique the other side's expert report especially when that expert is to be deposed. In that case the attorney will ask me to develop questions about the expert's opinions, bases for those opinions, and questions about the expert's background.

CLR: When you are sitting around having a beer with colleagues after work, do you have a favorite "expert witness" story that you like to tell? Can you share it with our readers?

Rosazza: I testified in a § 1983 case where an inmate was severely burned by his cellmate. The jury award \$1.6 million after testimony affirmed that the captain of the cellblock refused to move the plaintiff from the double cell, even after the cellmate told the captain that he would burn the cell. The captain told the cellmate: "I don't care if you burn both of your asses." That statement sort of nailed the deliberate indifference claim.

After I testified on direct, and during a break, I was told by plaintiff's attorney that

the Justice Kennedy Commission, whose recommendations were largely adopted by the ABA this summer.

Some of these and other prison commissions have accomplished a great deal; others less. In either event, history tells us that gains made are likely to erode over time. To the modern eye, jaundiced perhaps by the defense guess he s him and | could tast

Couns hen came at me with all guns blazing. I fired off question after question hardly all ing me to finish my answers. He was g re and more animated with arms fl and books slamming on the podium. e tension became more intense. The jury as leaning forward and really into the amatics. My strategy was to remain ca (which was no easy task) and not let h rattle me.

I repl

don't

ste

The alogue went something like the follow "Mr. Rosazza, don't inmates e?" I replied calmly that they do. often a Then h sked, "It doesn't mean that they are ntrol does it?" "Not necessarily," out of Then he said, "It's sort of like I repli bing on here in this courtroom, isn't what's it?Im n we are in control here, aren't we?" "Counsel, I'm in control, but I ow about you."

esponse broke the tension and the M a person, broke into continued laughjury, ter. E n the judge had a smirk on his face. I's second chair could only look at Cou or and shake his head. the f

No further questions. You may ٧n.

sazza: Thank you, Your Honor! 🛛 🗖

well-being of all inmates, for inmates to conduct their own affairs", and to reform parole procedures² — seem almost to comefrom another universe. And the Justice Kennedy Commission introduced its attempted intervention by looking back at its predecessor, writing in its final report that "for all of the resources and energy and tal-

To the modern eye ... many of the Attica Commission's recommendations ... seem almost to come from another universe.

truth in sentencing, the rise of supermax incarceration, and, most of all, by the increase of the number of incarcerated persons from about 360,000 in the early 1970s to over 2 million today, many of the Attica Commission's recommendations - for example, to make confinement "the least that is administratively necessary," including "the maximum amount of freedom, consistent with the security of the institution and the ent devoted to its work, it appears that the ABA Commission on Correctional Facilities and Services left little lasting impression on the legal landscape, and its work was all but forgotten in the crime war of the 1980s."3

But even a ten or 20 year improvement seems to me extremely worthwhile. If this new effort is successful at identifying and promoting practicable ways to make correc-See COMMISSION, next page

punsel was coming after me. I

his case falling down around

needed to discredit me. You

he tension in the courtroom.

June/July 2005

SUBSCRIPTION

INFORMAT Correctional Law Reporter is published six times annually. A basic one-year subscription to CLR is \$159 plus \$10.95 postage/handling. Nonexempt New Jersey and New York residents please add appropriate sales tax. Back issues of Correctional Law Reporter are available at \$159 per volume year or \$25.50 per issue. Back issue orders must be prepaid by check payable to Civic Research Institute. TO ORDER Complete the information below and mail to: Civic Research Institute 4478 U.S. Route 27 P.O. Box 585 Kingston, NJ 08528 or e-mail your order to: order@civicresearchinstitute.com Enter my one-year subset. **Correctional Law Reporter** at \$159 plus \$10.95 postage and handling □ Enter my one-year subscription to Offender Programs Report at \$159 plus \$10.95 postage and handling Enter my one-year subscription to Correctional Mental Health Report at \$159 plus \$10.95 postage and handling Lenter my order for The Mentally **Disordered Inmate and the Law** by Fred Cohen at \$160 plus \$9.95 \$ shipping and handling Name____ Title Agency Address ____State _____ Zip____ City ____ Phone E-Mail Address Purchase Order # _____

Missing or damaged issues?

Call Customer Service at 609-683-4450.

Reprints: Parties wishing to copy, reprint, distribute or adapt any material appearing in *Correctional Law Reporter* must obtain written permission from Civic Research Institute, Inc. For information on permissions and fees, call 609-683-4450. Any unauthorized use of material appearing in *Correctional Law Reporter* is a violation of CRI's copyright and will be prosecuted to the full extent provided by law.

COMMISSION, from page 15

tional facilities more safe, humane, and effective, that could benefit millions of people in one year alone, and many more millions before it's time for the next national prison commission. And perhaps the current climate - in particular, the huge modern inmate populations, the difficult budget situation in state governments, and the public outcry over rape in U.S. prisons and the maltreatment of prisoners of war abroad - is creating a perfect storm for reform. So from my perspective, blue ribbon commissions are looking pretty good, especially as litigated intervention in conditions of confinement grows more rare and more limited.

Our work is just starting. The first hearing was an introduction and overview. Commissioners heard testimony about what is known and unknown about the nature, extent, and causes of violence and abuse by and against inmates and staff in both jails and prisons. Some of the witnesses were former corrections officers, others were former inmates, and still others were experts and advocates of various kinds. The second hearing, in New Jersey in July, will focus on medical care and on systemic and institutional problems

LITERATURE, from page 8

outside the cell" and to work collaboratively rather than adversarily, if we ever hope to accomplish this vision.

Despite its packaging as a law review issue, this collection of articles and essays offers an unprecedented analysis of the past efforts at prison reform through litigation in the United States. I predict that the vision it presents of the future of prison reform will stand the test of time.

CORRECTIONAL LAW *Reporter* Civic Research Institute, Inc. 4478 Route 27 P.O.Box 585 Kingston, NJ 08528 (e.g., as overcrowding, the increasing use of isolation, and mental illness), that may or may not drive violence. The third hearing, in November, will examine the world of the corrections officer, looking at issues like recruitment, training, and support; the job stress and its consequences; and what happens to whistleblowers. A fourth and final hearing, in January, will home in on oversight and standards issues. We aim to present recommendations to Congress, state governments, and corrections departments around March, one year after the Commission was formed.

More information about the Commission on Safety and Abuse in America's Prisons can be found at www.prisoncommission.org

Footnotes

1. Morgenroth, Edwin C. [Chairman], et al., Struggle for Justice: A Report on Crime and Punishment in America (1971); National Commission on Crime and Justice, Thurston, Linda M. ed., A Call to Action: An Analysis and Overview of the United States Criminal Justice System, With Recommendations (1993).

2. New York State Special Commission on Attica, Attica (1972), at xvi-xviii.

3. Report of the ABA Justice Kennedy Commission, at 4 (2004), available at http://www. abanet.org/media/jkcrecs.html.

Copies: Pace Law Review, 78 North Broadway, White Plains, New York 10603. The entire issue of the law review or any of the articles can also be downloaded for free at: http://library.law.pace. edu/research/prison_reform_revisited.html. Additional hard copies of the law review may also be available through Professor Michael Mushlin at Pace Law School, who can be reached at: mmushlin@law. pace.edu.

PERIODICALS U.S. POSTAGE PAID

