number of expired HUD programs and activities for 1 year to give the authorizing committee time to consider needed reforms in those programs and deal with them more prudently. Mortgage insurance programs have expired, leaving the subcommittee without language to allow contract renewals at above FMR, a large number of FHA-insured multifamily housing projects could face default this year. This extension will give the authorizing committee time to develop an orderly "mark-to-market" strategy to restructure the debt on these projects, end payments of excessive rental subsidies, and help bring HUD's budget under control.

This bill also extends the Federal Home Mortgage Corporation program, which has allowed more than 14,000 elderly homeowners to tap into the equity in their homes, but required the authority for the program expired at the end of fiscal 1995. This extension will give us the time needed to extend the legislation extending the program for another 5 years and to enact reforms that will make the program more effective.

The legislation extends the FHA section 515 program, which is the only program extension included that is not under the jurisdiction of the Domestic Credit Reform Office, which, I understand, has been given authority by a number of areas that have been recently created. However, this is an important housing development program under the Bank's authority. There is a significant backlog of applications for the section 515 program.

I am, however, concerned by reports issued by the General Accounting Office and others indicating that structural and financial management problems exist in the section 515 program. As chairman of the Housing Opportunity and Community Development Subcommittee, I intend to hold hearings on this and other rural housing programs early next year and to propose program reforms where needed. No further extensions of the section 515 program should be approved until the program has been thoroughly reviewed by the Banking Committee.

By Mr. Kyl for himself, Mr. Hatch, and Mr. D'Amato: S. 1519, A bill to control crime, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

THE CRIME PREVENTION ACT OF 1995

Mr. KYL, Mr. President. I rise to introduce the Crime Prevention Act. One of the most important responsibilities for the 104th Congress is to pass a tough comprehensive crime package that will restore law and order to America's streets. Reported crime may have decreased slightly over the past few years, but the streets are still too dangerous. Too many Americans are afraid to go out for fear of being robbed, assaulted, or murdered.

In fact, according to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, report "Highlights from 20 Years of Supporting Crime Victims," approximately 2 million people are injured a year as a result of violent crime. Of those who are injured, more than half require some level of medical treatment and nearly a quarter receive treatment in a hospital emergency room or require hospitalization.

THE CRIME CLOCK IS TICKING

The picture painted by crime statistics is frightening. According to the Uniform Crime Reports released by the Department of Justice, in 1994 there was a violent crime every 17 seconds; a murder every 23 minutes; a forcible rape every 5 minutes; a robbery every 51 seconds; an aggravated assault every 28 seconds; a property crime every 3 seconds; a burglary every 12 seconds; and a motor vehicle theft every 29 seconds.

In short, a crime index offense occurred every 2 seconds. And this is just reported crime.

STATISTICS

Again, according to the Uniform Crime Reports in 1994, there were 1,216,150 violent crimes reported to law enforcement. Of these, 516 violent crimes per 100,000 inhabitants. The 1994 total was 2 percent above the 1990 level and 40 percent above that of 1980.

Further, juvenile crime is skyrocketing. According to statistics compiled by the FBI, from 1985 to 1993 the number of homicides committed by males aged 12 to 17 increased 55 percent, and by males aged 14 to 17 increased 165 percent. In addition, according to statistics recently released by the Department of Justice, during 1993, the youngest age group surveyed—those 12 to 15 years old—had the greatest risk of being victims of violent crimes.

Crime in my State, Arizona, is very much on the rise. In 1994, Phoenix suffered a record 244 homicides. An article in the December 12th Arizona Republic, stated that 225 people have been slain this year, 9 short of last year's record. Statewide crime was up in Mesa, Chandler, Glendale, Scottsdale, and Tempe. By August, the number of murders in Tucson this year eclipsed last year's total.

THE HEAVY COST OF CRIME

Aside from the vicious personal toll exacted, crime also has a devastating effect on the economy of our country. Business Week estimated in 1993 that crime costs Americans $25 million annually. To fight crime, the United States spends about $50 billion a year on the entire criminal justice system. Crime is especially devastating to our cities, which often have crime rates several times higher than suburbs.

The Washington Post ran an October 10th article detailing the work of professors Mark Levitt and Mark Cohen in estimating the real cost of crime to society. According to the article, "[Instead of merely totting up the haul in armed robberies and burglaries, Cohen tallied all of the costs associated with various kinds of crime, from loss of income sustained by a murder victim's family to the cost of reconstructing a rape victim to the diminished value of houses in high-burglary neighborhoods.] These quality of life costs raise the cost of crime considerably. Cohen and Levitt calculated that one murder costs society on average $2.7 million. A robbery note the robber an average of $2,000 in actual cash, but it produces $14,900 in quality of life expenses. And while the actual monetary loss caused by an assault is $1,800, it produces $19,200 in quality of life expenses.

LEGISLATION

Fighting crime must be one of our top priorities. Few would dispute this. In fact, according to the July 19th Tucson Citizen, about 500 businesses, education, and government leaders in Tucson held hearings on No. 1 issue in a survey commissioned by the Greater Tucson Economic Council. The House has dorn...
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Retracting good-time credit earned by inmates if they file lawsuits deemed frivolous. These provisions are based on similar provisions that were enacted in Arizona. Arizona's recent reforms have already reduced state prisoner cases by 50 percent. Now is the time to reproduce these common sense reforms in Federal courts. If we reduce the backlog of bogus Federal prisoner claims, we will free up judicial resources that can be used to handle cases by both prisoners and nonprisoners.

SPECIAL MASTERS

This bill authorizes the Federal judiciary to pay for special masters in prison litigation cases. Currently, Federal court judges can, and do, force States to pay the costs for special masters. This is an unfunded judicial mandate. The special masters appointed in prison litigation cases have cost Arizona taxpayers more than $170,000 since 1992. Arizona taxpayers have paid special masters up to $175 an hour. In one case, taxpayers' funds were used to hire a cámp for a special master.

VIOLENT CRIMES AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Women are the victims of more than 40 percent of all violent crimes a year, including half a million rapes or other sexual assaults, according to the Department of Justice. The National Victim Center calculates that a woman is battered every 15 seconds.

Last year's crime bill, which is now law, did much to help victims of domestic violence — making it easier for evidence of intrafamilial sexual abuse to be introduced, for example. It will now be much easier for prosecutors in Federal cases to introduce evidence that the accused committed a similar crime in the past. The crime bill also provides Federal funding for battered women's shelters and training for law enforcement officers and prosecutors. But more needs to be done. A message must be sent to abusers that their behavior is not a family matter. Society must treat domestic violence as seriously as it does violence between strangers. My bill will strengthen the rights of domestic violence victims in Federal court and, hopefully, set a standard for all Individual States to emulate.

First, my bill authorizes the death penalty for cases in which a woman is murdered by her husband or boyfriend. My bill also provides that if a defendant presents negative character evidence concerning the victim, the Government's rebuttal can include negative character evidence concerning the defendant. We must establish a higher standard of professional conduct for lawyers. My legislation prohibits harassing or dilatory tactics, knowingly presenting false evidence or discrediting truthful evidence, willful ignorance of matters that should be learned from the client, and concealment of information necessary to prevent sexual abuse or other violent crimes.

Violence in our society leaves law-abiding citizens feeling defenseless. It is time to level the playing field. Federal law currently gives the defense more chances than the prosecution to reject a potential jury. My bill protects the right of victims to an impartial jury by giving both sides the same number of peremptory challenges.

Almost 30 percent of all violent crimes are committed through the use of a firearm. To help stop this violence the bill increases the mandatory minimum sentences for criminals who use firearms in the commission of crimes. It imposes the following minimum penalties: 10 years for using or carrying a firearm during the commission of a Federal crime of violence or drug trafficking; 20 years if the firearm is discharged; incarceration for life or punishment by death if death of a person results.

THE EXCLUSORY RULE

To ensure that relevant evidence is not kept from juries, the bill extends the good faith exception to the exclusory rule to non-warrant cases, where the court determines that the circumstances justified an objectively reasonable belief by officers that their conduct was lawful.

THE DEATH PENALTY

The vast majority of the American public supports the imposition of the death penalty. An ABC News/Washington Post poll conducted in January 1993 found that 74 percent of Americans favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder. Similarly, a Markey poll conducted in December 1994 found that nearly three-quarters of Americans support capital punishment.

To deter crime and to make a clear statement that the most vicious, evil criminals or our society, the bill strengthens the death penalty standards.

Additionally, the bill adds murder of a witness as an aggravating factor that permits a jury to consider the death penalty; provides effective safeguards against delay in the execution of Federal capital sentences resulting from prolonged litigation; reduces likelihood of filing and strict limitations on successive motions; and provides for capital punishment for murders committed in the District of Columbia.

HAWAII CORRECTIONS

To eliminate the abuse, delay, and repetitive litigation in the lower Federal courts, title VII of this bill provides that the decision of State courts will not be subject to review in the lower Federal courts, so long as they are adequate and effective remedies in the State courts for testing the legality of a person's detention. This provision limits the needless duplicative review in the lower Federal courts, and helps put a stop to the endless appeals of convicted criminals. Judge Robert Bork has written a letter in support of this provision.

COMPUTER CRIMES

I am pleased to include, in this bill, my National Information Infrastructure Protection Act which will strengthen current public law on computer crime and protect the national information infrastructure. My fear is that our national infrastructure—the information that binds all Americans—will not adequately protected unless we offer this legislation as a protection to one of America's greatest commodities.

Although there has never been an accurate nationwide reporting system for computer crime, the FBI recently learned that reported computer crimes have increased from 122 in 1983 to 2,341 last year. A June 11 Wall Street Journal article stated that a Rand Corp. study reported 1,172 hacking incidents occurred during the first 6 months of last year. A report commissioned last year by the Department of Defense and the CIA stated that "[a]ttacks against information systems are becoming more aggressive, not only now seeking access to confidential information, but also stealing and degrading service and data. Clearly there is a need to reform the current criminal statutes covering computers.

This bill allows high-ranking Secret Service agents to issue an administrative citations for computer crimes in which a person's life is in danger. The Department of Agriculture, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Food and Drug Administration already have administrative subpoena power.

INTERNET GAMBLING

There is a new breed of gambling evolving. Gambling on the Internet is on the rise. Many "virtual" casinos have emerged on the Internet Accept real money at the click of a mouse or the touch of a key. It is estimated that gambling could, before too long, become a $50 billion business. That is why I have included a section which will make it illegal, if it is illegal to gamble in your State, to gamble on the Internet. Current statistics make it illegal, if you are in the business of gambling on the Internet. I have also included a provision that would require the Department of Justice to analyze all problems associated with enforcing the current gambling statutes.

CONCLUSION

The Kyl crime bill is an important effort in the fight against crime. We can win this fight. If we have the conviction, and keep the pressure on Congress to pass tough crime-control measures, it is time to stop kowtowing to prisoners, apologists for criminals, and the